

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/453,480	12/09/1999	ROGER G. M. LUCASSEN	RANPP0305USA	2696
23908	7590 04/25/2003		•	
RENNER OTTO BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP 1621 EUCLID AVENUE NINETEENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			KIM, EUGENE LEE	
CLEVELANI	CLEVELAND, OH 44115			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	110
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		(1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/453,480	LUCASSEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eugene Kim	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N	<u>1arch 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7 and 11-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7 and 11-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	, .				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/453,480

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. Claims 4-7, 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simmons in view of Huston et al or Wilhelm et al (#5,943,844). Simmons substantially show the claimed subject matter but do not show the shutter means being flush with the blade as claimed. Huston et al show a cutting blade 40 mounted on a holder means with the shutter means on the side of the blade. Wilhelm et al show a cutting blade 64 wherein the whole surface is being used to substantially block a path as claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Simmons with cutting means as taught by Huston et al or Wilhelm to make sure nothing interferes with the cutting blade. Regarding the shutter surface being flush with the blade. Huston et al show a mechanical equivalent blade wherein the strip path is substantially blocked as claimed. Wilhelm et al show a blade means 64 wherein the surface of the blade blocks a strip path and the surface is flush. Wilhelm et al do not show a shutter means that is separate from the blade means; however, it has been held that the mere fact that a given structure is integral does not preclude its consisting of various elements. See Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179 (PTO Bd. Of Int. 1969). Furthermore, the blade means of Wilhelm et al performs the same function as applicant's blade and shutter and an integral workpiece is more efficient than having two separate workpieces as claimed.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims supra have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 2

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/453,480

Art Unit: 3721

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Kim whose telephone number is (703)308-1886. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:30 a.m - 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/453,480

Art Unit: 3721

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-

1148.

Eugene Kim

April 16, 2003